

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Claim 1 (Canceled)

Claim (Previously presented): A method comprising:

initiating a search for images based on at least one query keyword in a query;

identifying, during the search, first images having associated keywords that match the query keyword and second images that contain low-level features similar to those of the first images; and

ranking the first and second images.

Claim 2 (Previously presented): A method as recited in claim 2, further comprising presenting the first and second images.

PAGE 4/35 * RCVD AT 2/27/2004 3:10:17 PM [Eastern Standard Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/4 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:509 323 8979 * DURATION (mm-ss):09-20

 \bigcirc

Claim (Previously presented): A method comprising:

initiating a search for images based on at least one query keyword in a query;

identifying, during the search, first images having associated keywords that match the query keyword and second images that contain low-level features similar to those of the first images;

presenting the first and second images to a user; and

monitoring feedback from the user as to which of the first and second images are relevant to the query.

Claim-5 (Previously presented): A method comprising:

initiating a search for images based on at least one query keyword in a query;

identifying, during the search, first images having associated keywords that match the query keyword and second images that contain low-level features similar to those of the first images;

presenting the first and second images to a user;

receiving feedback from the user as to whether the first and second images are relevant to the query; and

learning how the first and second images are identified based on the feedback from the aser.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Claim 6 (Previously presented): A method as recited in claim 2, wherein the monitoring comprises

presenting the first and second images to a user;

receiving feedback from the user as to whether the first and second images are relevant to the query further comprising:

refining the search to identify additional images that contain low-level features similar to those of the images indicated by the user as being relevant to the query.

Claim 7 (Previously presented): A method as recited in claim 2, wherein the monitoring comprises

presenting the first and second images to a user;

receiving feedback from the user as to whether the first and second images are relevant to the query further comprising:

assigning a large weight to an association between the query keyword and the images deemed relevant by the user.

Claim 8 (Original): A method as recited in claim 7, further comprising grouping the low-level features of the images deemed relevant by the user.

24

Claim 9 (Previously presented): A method as recited in claim 2 the monitoring comprises

presenting the first and second images to a user;

receiving feedback from the user as to whether the first and second images are relevant to the query further comprising:

assigning a small weight to an association between the query keyword and the example image.

Claim 10 (Original): A method as recited in claim 9, further comprising identifying additional images with low-level features similar to those of the example image.

12

13

11

10

Claim 41 (Previously presented): A computer readable medium having computer-executable instructions that, when executed on a processor, perform the method as recited in claim 2

15

18

19

20

14

Claim-12 (Original): A method comprising:

permitting entry of both keyword-based queries and content-based queries;

finding images using both semantic-based image retrieval and low-level feature-based image retrieval;

presenting the images to a user so that the user can indicate whether the images are relevant; and

conducting semantic-based relevance feedback and low-level feature-based relevance feedback in an integrated fashion.

 \bigcirc

5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Claim 13 (Original): A method as recited in claim 12, further comprising ranking the images.

Claim 14 (Original): A method as recited in claim 12, further comprising using images indicated as being relevant to find additional images.

Claim 25 (Original): A computer readable medium having computerexecutable instructions that, when executed on a processor, perform the method as recited in claim 12.

Claims 16-19 (Canceled)

Claim 20 (Original): A method/comprising:

presenting a result set of images that are returned from an image retrieval search of a query having at least one keyword;

monitoring feedback from a user as to whether the images in the result set are relevant to the query;

in an event that the user selects at least one image as being relevant to the query, associating the keyword in the query with the selected image to form a first keyword-image association and assigning a comparatively large weight to the first keyword-image association; and

in an event that the user identifies an example image for refinement of the search, associating the keyword in the query with the example image to form a second keyword-image association and assigning a comparatively small weight to the second keyword-image association.

lee@hayes per meaneas

MS#154618.1 G:\M\$1-0.604\utM\$1-604U\$.M03\doc

10

11

12

13

23

Claim 21 (Original): A method as recited in claim 20, further comprising conducting both content-based image retrieval and semantic-based image retrieval.

Claim 22 (Original): A method as recited in claim 20, further comprising presenting the result set of images in a user interface, the user interface facilitating the user feedback by allowing the user to indicate which images are more relevant and which images are less relevant.

Claim 23 (Original): A computer readable medium having computer-executable instructions that, when executed on a processor, perform the method as recited in claim 20.

Claim 24 (Previously presented): A method comprising:

computing, for each category, a representative feature vectors of a set of existing images within the category;

determining a set of representative keywords that are associated with the existing images in each category;

comparing, for each new image, the low-level feature vectors of the new image to the representative feature vectors of the existing images in each category to identify a closest matching category; and

labeling the new image with the set of representative keywords associated with the closest matching category.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

Claim 25 (Previously presented): A method as recited in claim 24, further comprising using user feedback to selectively add and/or remove keywords from the new image.

PH

Claim 26 (Original): A method as recited in claim 24, further comprising: placing the labeled new images into a holding category;

evaluating the labeled new images in the holding category to determine if any of the keywords associated with the labeled new image match the representative keywords from each category; and

assigning the labeled new image to the category that best matches the keywords associated with the labeled new image.

Claim 27 (Canceled)

Claim 28 (Previously presented): An image retrieval system comprising:

a query handler to handle both keyword-based queries having one or more search keywords and content-based queries having one or more low-level features of an image; and

a feature and semantic matcher to identify at least one of (1) first images having keywords that match the search keywords from a keyword-based query, and (2) second images having low-level features similar to the low-level features of a content-based query, wherein the feature and semantic matcher ranks the images.

Claim 29 (Previously presented): An image retrieval system as recited in claim 28, wherein the query handler comprises a natural language parser.

Claim 20 (Previously presented): An image retrieval system as recited in claim 28, wherein the query handler comprises:

- a parser to parse text-based queries; and
- a concept hierarchy to define various categories of images.

Claim 21 (Previously presented): An image retrieval system as recited in claim 28, further comprising a user interface to present the images identified by the feature and semantic matcher.

Claim 32 (Previously presented): An image retrieval system comprising:

a query handler to handle both keyword-based queries having one or more search keywords and content-based queries having one or more low-level features of an image;

a feature and semantic matcher to identify at least one of (1) first images having keywords that match the search keywords from a keyword-based query, and (2) second images having low-level features similar to the low-level features of a content-based query;

a user interface to present the images identified by the feature and semantic matcher to a user, the user interface allowing the user to indicate whether the images are relevant to the query; and

a feedback analyzer to train the image retrieval system based on user feedback as to relevancy.

les@hayes en en-co-ezre

a

M5#154618.1 G:\MS1-0:604w\MS1-604US.M03.doc

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Claim 35 (Previously presented): An image retrieval system as recited in claim 28, further comprising:

a user interface to present the images identified by the feature and semantic matcher to a user, the user interface allowing the user to identify an example image; and

the feature and semantic matcher being configured to identify additional images that contain low-level features similar to those of the example image.

Claim 24 (Previously presented): An image retrieval system as recited in claim 28, further comprising:

a user interface to present the images identified by the feature and semantic matcher to a user, the user interface allowing the user to identify which images are relevant to a particular search query; and

a feedback analyzer to assign a large weight to an association between the search keywords and the images identified as relevant by the user.

Claim 36 (Original): An image retrieval system as recited in claim 34, wherein the feedback analyzer groups the low-level features of the images identified as relevant by the user.

Claim 36 (Previously presented): An image retrieval system as recited in claim 28, further comprising:

PLL

a user interface to present the images identified by the feature and semantic matcher to a user, the user interface allowing the user to identify an example image as being less relevant or irrelevant to the query; and

a feedback analyzer to assign a small weight to an association between the search keywords and the example image.

Claim 27 (Original): An image retrieval system as recited in claim 36, wherein the feature and semantic matcher identifies additional images with low-level features similar to those of the example image.

Claim 38 (Canceled)

Claim 39 (Previously presented): A computer-readable medium having computer-executable instructions that, when executed, directs a computer to:

find images using both semantic-based image retrieval and low-level feature-based image retrieval;

present the images to a user so that the user can indicate whether the images are relevant; and

concurrently conduct semantic-based relevance feedback and low-level feature-based relevance feedback.

10

11

12

13

21

22

23



 $\bigcirc \setminus$

Claim-40 (original): A program as recited in claim 39, further comprising computer-executable instructions that, when executed, direct a computer to rank the images.

Claim 41 (Original): An information retrieval program, embodied on the computer-readable medium, comprising the computer-executable instructions of claim 39.

Claim 42 (Previously presented): A computer readable medium having computer-executable instructions that, when executed on a processor, perform the method as recited in claim 4.